

HABITAT ASSOCIATIONS OF THE RUSTY BLACKBIRD IN NOVA SCOTIA

And opportunities for conservation within a multi-species suite

Alana Westwood, Dr. Cindy Staicer Dalhousie University

Rusty Blackbird Symposium, AOU/COS/SCO 2014 Estes Park CO, Sep 23-28

Likely Causes of Decline in NS

- Habitat loss (wintering and breeding grounds)
- Mercury exposure
- Climate change
- Wetland drying





Habitat Associations of RUBL in Nova Scotia





Occupied wet forest

1. How similar are occupied and unoccupied sites?



Red maple flood plain, Kejimkujik National Park, no recent harvest

2. How similar are occupied sites on harvested and non-harvested lands?





Canada Warbler (Cardellina canadensis) Rusty Blackbird (Euphagus carolinus) Olive-Sided Flycatcher (Contopus cooperi)

3. How similar are sites occupied by RUBL, OSFL, and CAWA?



Vegetation and Forest Ecosystem Surveys



Sites Sampled

		Н	larvest	Non-Harvest
Species	Total	N	/latrix	Matrix
RUBL		37	21	16
OSFL		45	26	19
CAWA		38	18	20
Total		99	54	45

8 sites with RUBL & OSFL 2 sites with RUBL & CAWA 10 sites with OSFL & CAWA

1 site with all 3 species



Results – MRPP for RUBL Groups

Testing multivariate similarity for groups

OCCUPIED UNOCCUPIED (n=37) (n=62)

HARVEST MATRIX NON-HARVEST MATRIX (n=21) (n=16)

Variable TypeChance-correct within- group agreement (A)Chance-correct within- group agreement (A)p		Occupied vs Unoccupied		Harvest vs Non-Harvest	
	Variable Type	Chance-correct within- group agreement (A)	р	Chance-correct within- group agreement (A)	p
Average 0.0129 0.0002 0.0034 0.25	Average	0.0129	0.0002	0.0034	0.2539
Variance 0.0102 0.0010 -0.0054 0.80	Variance	0.0102	0.0010	-0.0054	0.8035

Results– Indicator Species Analysis for RUBL (26 variables)

Occupied

Unoccupied

Variable	Average	Variance
Water	Х	Х
Fern	Х	
Conifers <5m	Х	
Mud		Х
Stand basal area		Х

Variable	Average	Variance
Shrub ht	Х	
<i>Picea</i> sp.	Х	
Total shrub cover	х	х
Deciduous shrub ht	х	
Deciduous shrub cover	Х	Х
<i>Picea</i> spp. ht	Х	
Cinnamon Fern	Х	
Alnus incana ht	Х	

Results– Indicator Species Analysis for RUBL (26 variables)

Harvest

Variable	Average	Variance
Kalmia angustifolium	х	
Mud		Х

Non-Harvest

Variable	Average	Variance
Conifer height (shrub layer)		Х



Results – MRPP for occupancy of 3 species

Testing multivariate similarity for groups

RUBL OSFL



OSFL CAWA

Ν

RUBL – 37 OSFL – 45 CAWA - 38

	Chance-correct within-group	
Variable Type	agreement (A)	p-value
Average	0.0156	0.0001
Variance	0.0101	0.0028



Results– Indicator Species Analysis by occupancy of all 3 species (26 variables)

RUBL

	V.		$\overline{\Lambda}$	
9	V	Y		

Variable	Average	Variance
Water	Х	
Mud		Х
Deciduous shrub height		х

OSFL

Variable	Average	Variance
None		

Variable	Average	Variance
Alnus incana height	Х	
Cinnamon fern	Х	Х
Canopy cover	Х	
Total shrub ht	Х	Х
Deciduous shrub height	Х	
Alnus incana cover	Х	

1. Do RUBL-occupied and unoccupied areas differ in wet forest landscapes?

Yes.

- Generally a high variability among biologically important variables (mud, water, small conifers)
- Suggests that patchiness is a crucial consideration
- Confounding variable of habitat saturation



2. Do RUBL-occupied wet forest areas differ in harvested and non-harvested landscapes?

No.

- Overall, not in a significant way
- Need to encourage regrowth of small conifers vs deciduous shrubs
- Should still be aware of potential ecological traps or other demographic implications



 Do RUBL-occupied landscapes differ from that occupied by OSFL or CAWA?
Maybe.

- CAWA does significantly differ from the others, and certainly is associated with deciduous shrubs
- These differences may not be biologically meaningful on the territory scale



Ecosite



IE

Y

Conservation as a multi-species suite?

We will have a better idea after:

- completing rigorous ecosite evaluation
- Developing Maritimes-scale spatially-explicit models for each species, and comparing with national models (partnership with Boreal Avian Modeling Project, expected results 2015)



Overall Outcomes:

Quantifying high-quality habitat in the Maritimes Prescriptions for on-the-ground management Greater public awareness and participation



On The Ground

Landbirds At Risk Program

Partnership between the Mersey-Tobeatic Research Insitute and lab of Dr. Cindy Staicer



Outreach



Acknowledgements

Field Assistance: Amanda Lavallee, Alicia Pray-Lesiey, Megan Boucher, Laura Achenbach, Jennifer Randall, Katherine Deturbide, Parks Canada Staff (Wesley Pitts, James Neish, Sarah Robear, Douglas Smith, staff at Fundy National Park)

Data: Maritimes Breeding Bird Atlas, Lab of Dr. Cindy Staicer

Volunteers: John Bottomly, Liz Campbell, Sarah Gutowsky, Luise Einfeld, Barry Leslie, Celeste Pray, Gael Reginaud, Rolanda Steenweg

Funding: NSERC, Parks Canada, Mersey-Tobeatic Research Institute, Environment Canada, Nova Scotia Habitat Conservation Fund, Nova Scotia Endangered Species Research Fund

Travel Support: AOU/COS/SCO Joint Meeting Student Travel Award, Dalhousie Association of Graduate Student Travel Grant, Dalhousie Faculty of Graduate Studies Student Travel Grant

In-Kind Support: Karen Achenbach, Alain Belliveau, Harold & Diane Clapp, Resolute Forest Products Ltd., Boreal Avian Modeling Project

Advice: Deborah Austin, Alain Belliveau, Peter Bush, Karen Beazley, Meghan Crowley, Raymond Jahncke, Gabrielle Leo, Marty Leonard, Dan Mazerolle, Duncan Smith, Richard Westwood, Boreal Avian Modeling Project Steering Committee This project was undertaken with the financial support of the Government of Canada. Ce projet a été réalisé avec l'appui financier du gouvernement du Canada.









